MSMilan2023

9th Joint ECTRIMS-ACTRIMS Meeting 11–13 October 2023 | Milan, Italy

Abstract Number: [153]

Abstract Title: Employing Novel Indirect Treatment Comparison Methodologies to Differentiate the Efficacy of Ofatumumab and Other High Efficacy Therapies versus Orally Administered Disease Modifying Therapies for Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis

Abstract Category: Therapy - 42 - Others

Preferred Presentation Type: Oral or poster presentation

Helmut Butzkueven*1, Anja Haltner², Chris Drudge³, Imtiaz Samjoo³, Michael Barnett⁴, ⁵, Simon Broadley⁶, Pamela Mccombe⁵, Anneke Van der Walt¹, Dee Stoneman⁶, Martin Merschhemke⁶, Nicholas Adlard⁶, Morag Nelson⁶, Nicholas Riley⁶, Rob Walker⁶

¹Central Clinical School, Monash University, Department of Neuroscience, Melbourne, Australia, ²Eversana, Chicago, United States, ³Eversana, Burlington, Canada, ⁴University of Sydney, Brain and Mind Centre, Camperdown, Australia, ⁵Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, Australia, ⁶Griffith University, School of Medicine, Gold Coast Campus, Southport, Australia, ⁷University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia, ⁸Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland, ⁹Novartis Pharmaceuticals Australia, ⁹Sydney, Australia

Introduction:

Emerging evidence challenges whether oral disease modifying therapies (DMTs) achieve similar efficacy to high efficacy therapies (HETs) in the treatment of relapsing multiple sclerosis (RMS). In the absence of head-to-head randomised controlled trial (RCT) data, indirect treatment comparisons (ITCs) can be used to estimate the relative efficacy between HETs and oral therapies.

Objectives/Aims:

To differentiate HETs from oral therapies based on efficacy measures (annualised relapse rate (ARR), 3 and 6 month confirmed disease progression (3mCDP) (6mCDP)) using different ITC approaches. **Methods:**

Propensity score (PS) analyses were conducted to compare of atumumab (OFA) to fingolimod (FIN) using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) to balance the trial populations for both therapies. The PS analyses used pooled individual patient-level data (IPD) from ASCLEPIOS I/II for OFA and from FREEDOMS I, II and TRANSFORMS for FIN. Unanchored simulated treatment comparisons (STCs) were conducted to compare OFA to each of the oral treatments by fitting a regression model for outcomes of interest. The STCs leveraged pooled IPD from ASCLEPIOS I/II and summary-level data (SLD) from individual phase 3 RCTs for cladribine (CLA), FIN and ozanimod (OZA). A network metanalysis was also conducted to broadly compare the efficacy of DMTs for RMS, including HETs and oral therapies, using SLD from relevant RCTs.

Results:

PS analyses demonstrated statistically significant superiority of OFA over FIN for reducing ARR (Rate Ratio 0.60, 95%CI 0.45-0.81) and delaying time to 3mCDP (HR 0.54, 95%CI 0.29-0.99), and numerical superiority over FIN for delaying time to 6mCDP (HR 0.59, 95%CI 0.31-1.12). Unanchored STCs demonstrated that OFA was (i) significantly superior to CLA, FIN, and OZA for reducing ARR, (ii) significantly superior to CLA, FIN and OZA for delaying 3mCDP and (iii) significantly superior to FIN and OZA for delaying 6mCDP; OFA was numerically superior to CLA for delaying 6mCDP. A network meta-

MSMilan2023

9th Joint ECTRIMS-ACTRIMS Meeting 11–13 October 2023 | Milan, Italy

ECTRIMS actrims

analysis (NMA) analysis also demonstrated that alemtuzumab, natalizumab, ocrelizumab and OFA were each at least numerically superior to CLA, FIN and OZA.

Conclusion:

Three different ITC approaches consistently found evidence supporting the separation of ofatumumab and other HETs (NMA only) from oral therapies based on their efficacy. Results of the present ITC analyses clearly support the therapeutic superiority of ofatumumab and other HETs over oral therapies with respect to reducing relapses and delaying disease progression.

Disclosures: Helmut Butzkueven institution receives compensation for Advisory Board, Steering Committee and Educational activities from Biogen, Roche, Merck, and Novartis. His institution receives research support from Roche, Novartis, Biogen, NHMRC and MRFF Australia, MS Research Australia. He receives personal compensation from Oxford HPF for serving on the steering group of MS Brain Health.

Anja Haltner, Christopher Drudge, and Imtiaz A Samjoo are employees of EVERSANA™. EVERSANA receives consultancy fees from pharmaceutical and device companies, including Novartis. Michael Barnett reports research grants from Genzyme-Sanofi, Novartis, Biogen, and Merck outside the submitted work and is a co-founder of RxMx and Research Director for the Sydney Neuroimaging Analysis Centre.

Simon Broadley has accepted honoraria for attendance at advisory boards, speaker fees and sponsorship to attend scientific meetings from Novartis, Biogen-Idec, Sanofi-Genzyme, Roche, Bayer-Schering, Teva, CSL and Merck Serono and has been a principle investigator for clinical trials sponsored by Biogen-Idec, Novartis, Sanofi-Genzyme and ATARA.

Pamela McCombe has received sponsorship from Novartis, Teva, Sanofi and Biogen Anneke van der Walt served on advisory boards and receives unrestricted research grants from Novartis, Biogen, Merck and Roche She has received speaker's honoraria and travel support from Novartis, Roche, and Merck and receives grant support from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia and MS Research Australia.

Dee Stoneman, Martin Merschhemk and Nicholas Adlard are employees of Novartis Pharma AG. Robert Walker, Nicholas Riley and Morag Nelson are employees of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Australia.

Travel / Abstract Grant Application and Young Scientific Investigators' Session: I will not apply for Travel Grant or Young Scientific Investigators' Session

Which one would you like to apply to:

Date of Birth: